LINDSAY, Magistrate Ju	2.1	
	Defendants.	
TOWN OF RIVERHEAD,	et al.,	
-against-		CV 04-2202 (ADS)(ARL)
	Plaintiffs,	ORDER CV 04-2262 (ADS)(ARL)
MARK HOURANEY and I HOLDINGS, L.L.C.,	NORTHEAST	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF	NEW YORK	
UNITED STATES DISTRI	CT COURT	

By letter application dated May 2, 2006, the plaintiffs seek to "uphold [their] objection to the [defendants'] Expert Disclosure" and demand that the defendants not be given any additional time for disclosure. Prior to submitting a discovery motion of this nature, counsel for the plaintiffs was required to confer with counsel for the defendants in good faith in an effort to resolve the dispute. *See* Local Rule 37.3(a). There is no indication that counsel made any effort to contact the defendants. Moreover, there is no application before the court for an extension of the discovery deadline, and thus, the plaintiffs' objection to such an extension is not ripe. The motion is, therefore, denied with leave to renew once the parties have attempted to resolve the discovery dispute.

Dated: Central Islip, New York May 4, 2006

ARLENE ROSARIO LINDSAY
United States Magistrate Judge